

## Narcissism and Dependency in the Obsessional-Hysterical Marriage

JOSEPH BARNETT, M.D.

---

*Marriages in which one partner is an obsessional neurotic and the other an hysteric lead to certain patterns of difficulty and conflict that are functions both of the character structure of each and of their interaction. The central dynamic task of marriage is the development and integration of constructive and viable patterns of intimacy. Both the obsessional and the hysteric have serious problems with intimacy and introduce into the marriage distortions and limitations of experience which restrict or even bar intimacy. This paper will examine some of the interpersonal and transactional dynamics considered especially important in such relationships.*

**T**HE OBSESSIONAL man and the hysterical woman<sup>1</sup> are often attracted to each other. They see each other as complementary to their own style of living, and indeed they are. The hysteric is the more expressive personality. She is vivacious, emotional, empathic, and spontaneous. The obsessional, in contrast, is the more organized personality. More contained and intellectualized, he is more logical, controlled, and achievement oriented. These factors of outward style aid in the initial idealization each makes of the other. The hysteric sees the obsessional as the strong silent man, profound, organized, and suc-

---

<sup>1</sup>I designate the obsessional as male and the hysteric as female for convenience and because of the frequency of this sexual distribution in our culture where hysteria is more congruent with role expectations of women, and obsessionalism is more compatible with the male role. There are marriages in which the male is the hysteric and the woman the obsessional.

cessful, while the obsessional views the hysteric as being warm, vital, loving, and fun. Both see in the other's outward style evidence of precisely those characteristics they would like to see in themselves. They see in the other an opportunity for change and growth, a complementary relationship which may be therapeutic for their own inadequacies and liabilities or, at the very least, might enhance their own experience (1). To this extent, then, the attraction has elements of healthy striving. Such persons often change and grow considerably, if the marriage can survive the conflicts created by their respective problems with intimacy.

Unfortunately, these initial idealizations fall far short of an adequate awareness of the complexities of the other as a person. For the outward styles often enough are created by, and tend to obscure, those very neurotic factors which can subvert the opportunity for intimacy.

Both the hysteric and the obsessional have problems in the areas of dependency and narcissism (6). The dynamics of these problems, however, differ in each personality, and in their differences we find important clues to an understanding of the conflicts which occur when they interact.

Although the hysteric is overtly identified with her narcissism, her basic problems lie in her profound dependency. Overwhelmed in her childhood by affective overload, she develops feelings of helplessness, which she attempts to solve by dependence on others for care, protection, and nurturance. Her dependency becomes the most pervasive motivational force in her life, while remaining largely implicit and covert—rationalized and denied in various ways. Her narcissism, on the other hand, is openly avowed. Her flamboyance and exhibitionism, her flirtatiousness and need to excite interest and attention, are accepted aspects of her self-system, used to secure the care she craves. Her narcissism, in other words, is largely in the service of her dependency.

The obsessional has a contrasting relationship to his dependency and narcissistic needs. Openly passive and dependent, his more basic fault lies in the area of his covert yet pervasive narcissism. The conditions of the obsessional's early life were so fraught with ambiguity and disguised aggression and rejection that he has little self-esteem (2). Narcissism becomes the impoverished substitute for the feelings of personal significance he lacks. Since approval for achievement has been the counterfeit for love in his life, he tries unceasingly to prove his worth by his performances in the non-personal world and by the

admiration and approval he receives. His overt dependency and his way of achieving these feelings is "good." Thus, in contrast to the hysteric, his dependency is in the service of his self-esteem.

In their interaction, the hysteric demands for anaclitic or narcissistic approval, his implicit order of the greatest pains each suffers for the other in the area of their self-esteem.

The hysteric, in her dependency, envies the obsessional, whose self-contempt cause him to withdraw and create emotional distance he cannot afford. His response is to withdraw and create emotional distance. The hysteric, her anaclitic dependency, envies the obsessional by feeling unloved and unappreciated, her response is passivity and withdrawal. The hysteric's response as criticism, rejection, and retaliatory withdrawal are

Further complicating the interaction is the hysteric's exhibitionistic display, which, in love, is distorted by the hysteric's control, and "taking over." The hysteric, in the shadow of her "passivity," cannot compete at this level because of the obsessional's passivity and dependency. The hysteric's self-esteem is a sense of his deep sense of inferiority, which is internalized and personalized by the hysteric.

The wounds inflicted by the hysteric's action, then, are primarily in the area of self-esteem and false pride that are the result of the wounds suffered by the hysteric. The hysteric's anaclitic, i.e. they are the result of her lack of self-esteem, which is geared to inflict wounds on the hysteric's needs of the other. When this may lead to a desperate search for personal growth in both personalities, which is too low, and sensitive

ric as being warm, vital, and style evidence of pre-see in themselves. They and growth, a comple- and growth, a comple- tic for their own inade- might enhance their own reaction has elements of and grow considerably, ted by their respective

ll far short of an ade- er as a person. For the , and tend to obscure, the opportunity for in-

problems in the areas of of these problems, how- differences we find im- licts which occur when

with her narcissism, her 7. Overwhelmed in her eelings of helplessness, others for care, protec- es the most pervasive g largely implicit and ys. Her narcissism, on nce and exhibitionism, and attention, are ac- e the care she craves. service of her depend-

ip to his dependency ndent, his more basic narcissism. The con- aught with ambiguity has little self-esteem itute for the feelings for achievement has unceasingly to prove al world and by the

admiration and approval he can glean from his personal relationships. His overt dependency and passivity in personal relationships become his way of achieving these narcissistic goals by being "right" and "good." Thus, in contrast to the hysteric, the obsessional's dependency is in the service of his narcissism.

In their interaction, the covert claims of each—in the hysteric, her demands for anaclitic or dependent gratification and in the obsessional, his implicit order for narcissistic supplies—are the source of the greatest pains each suffers. Almost unerringly, each wounds the other in the area of their greatest vulnerability.

The hysteric, in her dependent craving for contact and care, threatens the obsessional, whose fears of exposure and whose shame and self-contempt cause him to perceive tenderness and intimacy as risks he cannot afford. His response to this threat is to avoid intimacy, to withdraw and create emotional distance, to inhibit affect and action. The hysteric, her anaclitic needs thwarted, responds to his avoidance by feeling unloved and rejected and by frantically attacking the passivity and withdrawal of her mate. The obsessional views this response as criticism, rejection, and scorn and reacts with further retaliatory withdrawal and distantiation.

Further complicating matters, the hysteric's overt narcissistic and exhibitionistic display, which is her way of gaining attention and love, is distorted by the obsessional and seen as competition, control, and "taking over." He begins to feel that he is perpetually living in the shadow of her "personality" while feeling inept and unable to compete at this level because of his self-contempt. Similarly, the obsessional's passivity and dependency in the relationship, which represent his deep sense of ineptness and inadequacy is distorted and personalized by the hysteric as indifference and rejection.

The wounds inflicted on the obsessional in such a marital interaction, then, are primarily narcissistic wounds, i.e. blows to the vanity and false pride that are his closest approximation to self-esteem. The wounds suffered by the hysteric in such a transaction are primarily anaclitic, i.e. they are based on her dependent claims which arise from her lack of self-esteem and fears of autonomy. In effect, each is geared to inflict wounds on the most sensitive, covert, and guarded needs of the other. Where the character pathology is not too severe, this may lead to a desensitization of neurotic hypersensitivity and to personal growth in both partners. But in many such cases, self-esteem is too low, and sensitivity too great, for such a favorable resolution.

Instead, from the logical structure of their own systems, each feels progressively more injured and simultaneously more justified, "right," and indignant in their perception of the other as hateful, attacking, and rejecting.

Despite the obvious withdrawal of the obsessional partner and the propagandistic claims of the hysteric, it is important to recognize that neither partner can permit real intimacy. The obsessional's fears of intimacy center around his shame and fears of exposure, and finally on his abysmally poor self-esteem. His solution to this dilemma is that of withdrawal and distantiation. His claims in the relationship are largely for approval and recognition. His notion of a comfortable relationship is one where he is considered "right" or "good." The hysteric's fear of intimacy is often obscured by her incessant craving for physical and emotional contact. This contact is essentially infantile rather than collaborative and has the quality of a search for adequate nurturant care. A good relationship to her is a form of symbiosis in which merger rather than intimacy occurs.

The psychological thrust of each, then, is away from the authenticity, knowledge, and shared experience which are requisite to any mature intimacy. The direction is rather towards self and, more particularly, towards fulfilling the narrow requirements of one's own historically and characterologically determined needs for security.

The cognitive difficulties characterizing each of these personality types add to the misunderstanding and conflict. The hysteric, as I have previously suggested (3), characteristically explodes affective data of experience before they can be organized and digested meaningfully. Her comprehension of experience is consequently crude and imprecise. Her communications are more related to sensate than syntactic experience and are consequently often exaggerated and highly colored. At the same time, the hysteric's assets lie in this very area of feeling and affects. Her empathic and intuitive sense of interpersonal events and transactions, her expressive ability to convey emotional experience to others, and her willingness to chance action and commitment also arise from the development of the sensate area of cognition.

The obsessional's cognitive difficulties are rather different. As the hysteric explodes affect, so the obsessional implodes or contains them. Fearing self-exposure and self-contempt, he avoids making certain interpersonal inferences which might organize the implications of ongoing experience. He maintains disruption of these inferences by

implosion of affects, a me of contained affects are us esses. He becomes syntax because of these thought systems based on the dup ambivalence, indecisiveness obsessional living. His asse tails, and his ability to th tion, are based on his deve

The interplay between crucial gap in understandin even when communication become somewhat compe style and envies them. T tuitive, and expressive ab his organization, logic, and

At the same time, the problems. The obsessional implications of his behavi to maintain innocence, he obsessional typically main hibited style is proof of l the face of even the mo maintains that his intenti tive results are accidental

The naivete of the hys of the obsessional. Her facade covering underlyin izes her motives, e.g. insi ing manner be overlooke And like many affective merely because she feels right.

The resulting difficult compound the interperso at intimacy. Maskin (7), "translating machines" v the communications of e indeed, such translating individual or marital th

their own systems, each feels increasingly more justified, "right," and the other as hateful, attacking,

the obsessional partner and the hysteric. It is important to recognize the hysteric's ambivalence. The obsessional's fears of exposure, and finally his resolution to this dilemma is his claims in the relationship. His notion of a comfortable "right" or "good." The hysteric, driven by her incessant craving for contact is essentially infantile. The quality of a search for adequacy to her is a form of symbiosis that occurs.

The hysteric is away from the authenticity which are requisite to any relationship towards self and, more particularly, the requirements of one's own hidden needs for security.

Each of these personality styles is in conflict. The hysteric, as I have noted, periodically explodes affective material that is not organized and digested meaningfully. This is consequently crude and unrelated to sensate than syntactically. Often exaggerated and highly subjective assets lie in this very area. The hysteric's intuitive sense of interpersonal ability to convey emotion and willingness to chance action and the content of the sensate area of

are rather different. As the hysteric implodes or contains them, the obsessional avoids making certain inferences. He does not analyze the implications of one's own or the other's inferences by

implosion of affects, a mechanism in which the disorganizing effects of contained affects are used to jam and restrict these thinking processes. He becomes syntax bound, excessively literal, and legalistic because of these thought disturbances. The ambiguous referential systems based on the duplicity of his early experience lead to the ambivalence, indecisiveness, and lack of commitment so typical of obsessional living. His assets, such as his organization, attention to details, and his ability to think theoretically or at high levels of abstraction, are based on his development of the syntactic area of cognition.

The interplay between these disparate cognitive systems creates a crucial gap in understanding between the hysteric and the obsessional, even when communication is attempted. On one level, they tend to become somewhat competitive. Each sees the assets of the other's style and envies them. The obsessional admires the empathic, intuitive, and expressive abilities of the hysteric, and she is in awe of his organization, logic, and his ability to think in generalizations.

At the same time, the liabilities inherent in each system create problems. The obsessional is remarkably dense about the most obvious implications of his behavior and its impact on his partner. In his need to maintain innocence, he dearly wishes to be taken at face value. The obsessional typically maintains that his controlled, modulated, and inhibited style is proof of his lack of hostility and good intentions. In the face of even the most dire effects of his "benign" behavior, he maintains that his intentions and motives are good and that any negative results are accidental or unrelated to his wishes.

The naivete of the hysteric differs considerably from the innocence of the obsessional. Her seeming lack of awareness is more often a facade covering underlying intentionality. She idealizes and rationalizes her motives, e.g. insisting that her exaggerated and often attacking manner be overlooked because of her loving and intimate intent. And like many affectively oriented people, she is convinced that merely because she feels something it must, therefore, be true and right.

The resulting difficulties in communication and in understanding compound the interpersonal conflicts and further complicate attempts at intimacy. Maskin (7), once suggested that such marriages be issued "translating machines" which would be pre-programmed to translate the communications of each spouse into the style of the other. And indeed, such translating services are often a necessary task for the individual or marital therapist. But, more frequently, the problems

with meaning and understanding are more profound. The mutual distortions, misperceptions and illusions of both about themselves and the other are not merely stylistic, but have their roots in profound differences in the organization and dynamics of their experience with living.

The power structure of the obsessional-hysteric marriage adds to the marital conflicts. Power motives, techniques, and tactics exist in both partners but proceed according to an implicit contract concerning power, aggression, and hostility that is modeled in accordance with their contrasting dynamics.

Obsessional aggression in intimate situations is largely covert (4). Passive techniques and inhibition of action are the obsessional's choice techniques for aggression and hostility. He is hostile more by omission than commission—a disarmingly effective technique especially when used against the hysteric whose comprehension of experience is often simple enough not to know when she has been hit. The aggression of the hysteric, on the other hand, is explosive and often assaultive in style. Hysterical aggression and hostility is exaggerated, poorly organized, and often misdirected. Characteristically, it often approximates but does not quite hit the mark. This quality of personal onslaught is especially effective and painful to the obsessional because of his low self-esteem and need for approval and admiration. Its effectiveness is augmented by the obsessional's distortion of the hysteric's style, based on his own narcissistic absorption and his hypersensitivity to conflict.

The tactical situation often proceeds as follows. The obsessional is the quiet, reasonable, "good" one in the relationship. He silently suffers what he considers the abuse, attacks, and humiliation of the hysteric's assaults on his integrity and self-esteem. Covertly, through passive techniques, withdrawal, and withholding operations, he manages to retaliate for these blows to his narcissism. The very style of his retaliation, moreover, is perfect to create the greatest havoc with his hysterical partner, striking, as these techniques do, at her greatest vulnerability—her dependency. The hysteric's role is that of the lively, vivacious purveyor of "intimacy." Incessantly hungry for contact to assuage her dependency, she couches her anaclitic claims in terms that are most culturally accepted. Her righteous indignation and pain over the obsessional's distantiation becomes, in effect, a neat critical riposte that strikes directly at the need to be right and good so central to the obsessional's narcissistic needs.

Both, then, engage in techniques differ. The overtly, and rationalizes the ableness. His power techniques narcissism but are given the hysteric plays the power and intimacy. Her power her dependency, but are various times during the eration becomes more effective exist.

The sexual conflicts that evolve from the fact that intimacy and with the goals of mutual satisfaction her superficial narcissism seductive frigidity. Basic tional and sexual response relatively or totally anaest time, her conscious need desirability lead her to hysterics, concern over frigidity satisfaction, especially solution to the anxiety to dispose to sexual masochism

The obsessional also with intimacy (5). His and emotional expressiveness interaction. His solution institutes performance found in obsessionals. Periodic or even total im ruminations may result whose fears and distrust premature ejaculations

The sexual situation with the degree of character and often with the power emerge in the marriage. ture is that of the mechanical

more profound. The mutual  
ns of both about themselves  
but have their roots in pro-  
d dynamics of their experience

nal-hysterical marriage adds to  
techniques, and tactics exist in  
an implicit contract concerning  
s modeled in accordance with

situations is largely covert (4).  
ion are the obsessional's choice  
. He is hostile more by omis-  
effective technique especially  
comprehension of experience is  
she has been hit. The aggress-  
nd, is explosive and often as-  
and hostility is exaggerated,  
ed. Characteristically, it often  
mark. This quality of personal  
painful to the obsessional be-  
for approval and admiration.  
obsessional's distortion of the  
sistic absorption and his hyper-

s as follows. The obsessional is  
e relationship. He silently suf-  
ks, and humiliation of the hys-  
self-esteem. Covertly, through  
withholding operations, he man-  
narcissism. The very style of  
create the greatest havoc with  
techniques do, at her greatest  
hysterical's role is that of the lively,  
essantly hungry for contact to  
r anaclitic claims in terms that  
ous indignation and pain over  
in effect, a neat critical riposte  
ght and good so central to the

Both, then, engage in the power game, though their motives, and techniques differ. The obsessional engages in power operations covertly, and rationalizes them as competence, effectiveness, and reasonableness. His power techniques are ultimately in the service of his narcissism but are given form by his superficial dependency. The hysteric plays the power game openly and rationalizes it as care, love, and intimacy. Her power operations are ultimately in the service of her dependency, but are given form by her superficial narcissism. At various times during the marriage, one or the other style of power operation becomes more effective and prominent, but at all times both exist.

The sexual conflicts that occur in the obsessional-hysterical marriage evolve from the fact that both partners have serious problems with intimacy and with the ability to collaborate with another towards goals of mutual satisfaction. The hysteric's fears of affectivity and her superficial narcissism combine to create the typical picture of seductive frigidity. Basically fearful of penetration, of her own emotional and sexual responsiveness, and of her dependency, she is often relatively or totally anesthetic to the sexual experience. At the same time, her conscious needs for attention, admiration, and proof of her desirability lead her to provocative behavior with men. In some hysterics, concern over frigidity may lead to promiscuity in search of satisfaction, especially where acting out has become a prominent solution to the anxiety of isolation. Anesthetic phenomena may predispose to sexual masochism where morbid dependency is extreme.

The obsessional also has sexual problems related to his difficulties with intimacy (5). His shame and fears of exposure limit spontaneity and emotional expressiveness, which are essential for ideal sexual interaction. His solution is the mechanization of sex, in which he substitutes performance for expressiveness. The frequent depressions found in obsessionals may lead to withdrawal or disinterest in sex. Periodic or even total impotence may occur in some patients. In others, ruminations may result in retarded ejaculation, while in still others—whose fears and distrust of women reach almost paranoid proportion—premature ejaculations may occur.

The sexual situation in the obsessional-hysterical marriage varies with the degree of character and sexual pathology of each participant and often with the power structure and patterns of dominance which emerge in the marriage. In a more-or-less typical interaction, the picture is that of the mechanically adequate, but uninspired male, com-

plaining about his reluctant wife who cannot be sufficiently aroused. His passivity prompts his complaints that she is insufficiently responsive or active sexually. His fantasied wishes are for active, even aggressive women, and for fellatio as the total fulfillment of his passive wishes. The lack of passion, sterility, or tentativeness of his sexual performances prompts the hysterical partner to feel herself rejected and unloved. She then attributes her own lack of sexual response to this rather than to her own anxieties about sex. Her needs for verification of her desirability often leads to fantasies of rape or seduction. Her dissatisfaction with the sexual situation leads the obsessional partner in turn to doubt his performance and withdraw further from exposure and sexual intimacy, even to the point of periodic impotence.

In any given marital interaction, shifts in the power structure of the marriage, or in the extent of pathology of either partner, may cause the situation to improve or deteriorate.

In summary, then, I find that many of the crucial problems of the obsessional-hysterical marriage are functions of the contrapuntal dynamics of the themes of dependency and narcissism, which are central to the personalities of the partners. These themes are often directly acted out in the marriage and create the narcissistic and dependent wounds so typically suffered by the obsessional and hysteric, respectively. They also pattern the nature of the power structure of the marriage and even contribute to the sexual difficulties that typically occur. In both individual and marital therapy, an appreciation of these dynamics aids in clarifying the distortions, the hurts, and the misunderstandings that increasingly diminish the opportunities for successful integration of intimacy.

#### REFERENCES

1. ACKERMAN, N. W., *The Psychodynamics of Family Life*, N.Y., Basic Books, 1958.
2. BARNETT, J., "On Cognitive Disorders in the Obsessional," *Contemporary Psychoanalysis*, 2: 122-134, 1966.
3. BARNETT, J., "Cognition, Thought and Affect in the Organization of Experience", *Science and Psychoanalysis*, Vol. XII, Ed. by J. Masserman, N.Y., Grune and Stratton, Inc., 1968.
4. BARNETT, J., "On Aggression in the Obsessional Neuroses," *Contemporary Psychoanalysis*, 6: 48-57, 1969.

5. BARNETT, J., "Sexuality in the C  
*tion: 25th Anniversary Volun*  
in press, Ed. by E. G. Witenl
6. CHODOFF, P., and LYONS, H., "  
'Hysterical' Conversion," *AM*
7. MASKIN, M., personal communic
8. SULLIVAN, H. S., *Clinical Stud*  
Co., 1956.

Reprint requests should be address  
New York, N. Y. 10021.



be sufficiently aroused. He is insufficiently re-  
 sponse are for active, even  
 fulfillment of his passive  
 to feel herself rejected  
 of sexual response to  
 Her needs for verifica-  
 of rape or seduction.  
 leads the obsessional  
 withdraw further from  
 point of periodic impo-

the power structure of  
 of either partner, may

crucial problems of the  
 the contrapuntal dy-  
 nism, which are central  
 nes are often directly  
 ssistic and dependent  
 and hysteric, respec-  
 power structure of the  
 culties that typically  
 , an appreciation of  
 s, the hurts, and the  
 he opportunities for

5. BARNETT, J., "Sexuality in the Obsessional Neuroses," *The Third Generation: 25th Anniversary Volume of the William Alanson White Institute*, in press, Ed. by E. G. Witenberg, N.Y., Basic Books.
6. CHODOFF, P., and LYONS, H., "Hysteria, The Hysterical Personality and 'Hysterical' Conversion," *AM. J. of Psychiatry*, 114: 734-740, 1958.
7. MASKIN, M., personal communication.
8. SULLIVAN, H. S., *Clinical Studies in Psychiatry*, N.Y., W. W. Norton & Co., 1956.

Reprint requests should be addressed to Joseph Barnett, M.D., 8 East 77th Street, New York, N. Y. 10021.

ily Life, N.Y., Basic

sional," *Contemporary*

e Organization of Ex-  
 Ed. by J. Masserman,

roses," *Contemporary*